

THE LEXINGTON GAZETTE

WE AIM TO CUT DOWN ERROR AND ESTABLISH TRUTH.

A. T. Parker
Rich and Ashland East Side
Volume XVI.—Number 11.

LEXINGTON, KY., JUNE 30, 1907.

Published Weekly.—\$150 per Year, in advance.

TRUTH

CANNOT DO INJURY

Great Teaching of Spinoza Retold with Splendid Effect—Parallels of Christianity in Older Creeds.

AN EXTRACT FROM WILSON'S ROMEO BOOK.

(By J. C. Brown.)

We hold with Spinoza that the truth cannot injure anyone if it is the truth. Read the following collection and then express your honest opinion concerning the alleged divine origin of the Christian religion.

In his latest book, "A Trip to Rome" the author, Dr. J. C. Brown, says:

The British Museum is the greatest place of all. I spent the whole day there, and would have liked to remain a month, and I would write a book, in that time for Frothington use. Here is the greatest collection of the relics of antiquity in all the world. I will only speak of "The Creation Tablets," and others bearing upon the book of Genesis. These tablets lay in the British Museum for thirty years before their value was known. Finally a young man by the name of Smith, who had a genius for deciphering hieroglyphics, etc., came along and easily translated them. They are the very oldest accounts, dating back thousands of years prior to the oldest story of the Pentateuch.

These tablets were first made of soft clay and lettered by a wedged edge tool, then the clay was burned. The tablets are mostly in fragments, but many are whole and perfect. The lettering is wonderfully well executed and much of it as perfect as the type of this book. They were "wiser than we in many things." They put their records in a very clear and distinct manner. They put ours on paper, and the same on clay.

Some of these tablets on a card read as follows in English. I will give you some of them condensed, but enough to show you where the Jewish story of creation came from, and to prove that the Bible is not the word of any God. In reading these accounts which I have condensed, you will be startled at the extent to which the author of the Pentateuch also condensed them. See how plain and scientific the Assyrian account stands out.

The Assyrian Story of Creation.
In the beginning, nothing existed except the gods and the great deep. A movement took place in the waters, after which the god, Marduk, formed the earth. He next created man and beast, and the great rivers of Babylon and he founded also the cities of Nippur and Eridu, together with their temples. Then Marduk created the sun moon and stars, and made the gods the abodes of the gods and fixed their courses. He next divided the year into twelve months. He made the sun to rule the day and the moon to rule the night and determined the duration of their phases.

The Story of the Deluge.
The Hero of Gilgamesh—the story of his escape from the deluge—the gods in this city determined to send a flood upon the earth to destroy it, but Ea, one of their number warned Tish-Naphtim of what had been decreed and hid him to make a ship in which to save himself and his family. He ordered him to take into the ship with him, living creatures of every kind, and counsel him how to answer the men of his city when they ask him concerning his ship. Tish-Naphtim therefore built a ship with stories and divisions and pitched it within and without, and stored it with food. He next placed there his family and his possessions and all kinds of birds and beasts, and at a certain time, by the command of Shamish, went into it, and shut the door, and gave the command of the ship to Puzur-Bel. The flood descended and destroyed mankind and the gods fled to heaven in fear. The waters increased for six days and six nights, but began to abate on the seventh. On the twelfth day the ship rested on Mount Nisir, and six days later Tish-Naphtim sent forth a dove which flew hither and thither and finding no resting place, returned to the ship. He next sent forth a swallow, which also returned, and finally a raven, which seeing that the waters had abated, came out back. Then Tish-Naphtim came out from the ship and made an offering to the mountain.

The gods smelt sweet savor and gathered round about like flies. But he was filled with wrath at the escape

OF WHAT USE ARE CHRISTIAN CLERGY?

Their Legitimate Function Admits of No Discussion but Their Title to Teaching is Drawn from Self Alone

(By J. C. Cohen.)

In another well written article in the London Freethinker, the writer says:

"What is the place," asks Canon Henson, "which the Christian clergy ought to hold in the general life of the nation to which they are accredited persons may be a matter of dispute. To the outsider, it would seem that their authorization to play the part of teachers comes entirely from themselves; but the part that is on their side, or might be played by the clergy in our national life, is a question that concerns everyone. For, directly or indirectly, we are all compelled to support them, and both directly and indirectly we feel the results of their existence. No body of men, as numerous as are the clergy, and appearing in a public capacity, could be without some effect on life, whether the effect be good or bad."

What is the legitimate function of the clergy does not admit of much discussion. The real and only honest function of the priest, whether in savage or civilized times, is that of an intermediary between man and the supernatural forces. It is emphasized that this is the function of the priest in all religions, notably in the religion of the Roman Catholic Church. Among more modern bodies it is to be seen in the expressed belief of the Anglican clergy that the candidate for the priesthood is moved by the power of the "Holy Ghost," and in the "call" of the disinterested clergy to the priesthood. It is the one quality that the priesthood of all times have in common; all else is a mere addition assumed by the priest because of this primitive occupation. Divorced from this function the priest stands on the footing of an ordinary citizen, and is to be judged from the point of view of simple social utility. But putting on one side the Roman Catholic clergy—and even of them the statement contains a large measure of truth—the belief in the occult power of the clergy is practically defunct. No intelligent person believes that the institution of a man into the ministry gives him any greater knowledge, or control over, cosmic forces than would his apprenticeship to a handicraft. And for this reason, the clergy are driven to assume certain functions which are not theirs by right, and which, as a matter of fact, they are ill qualified to perform.

So much for what the function of the clergy ought to be, although not many of them would admit as much. Canon Henson says the clergy are primarily charged with the task of teaching and exhortation. As a matter of historic fact, no; for, even though teaching and exhortation have for long been associated with their functions, their selection for these tasks was dependent upon the belief that they possessed an occult influence denied to ordinary men. What the function of the clergy is, is also clear. Canon Henson says "Expected in many lands, and in almost every age, tends to show that the fault of the clergy, as a direct influence in politics, has been that of servility to the reigning political power, whatever it may be; and he believes that the Socialist sentiment now current in the churches as merely an illustration of "this great law of official parasitism which has prevailed in history."

That the clergy have at all times— with very rare exceptions—pandered to the political forces that happened to be the most powerful—so long as it was not directly and avowedly the plainest and best-supported truths of history. Their attitude upon the recurring questions of peace and war is hardly more praiseworthy. They have, of course, taught the common virtues—virtues which, in some form at least, are condemned by none and praised by all. But they have generally ignored those finer developments of character upon which a healthy application of the common virtues depends, with the result that failure is written all over their efforts. Centuries of power and authority have not enabled them to make the people under their control conspicuously truthful, honest, sober, or industrious. Such success as they have met with has been far more in the direction of causing people to refrain from bad conduct through sheer moral cowardice than as an expression of healthy moral development. It is, indeed, too often forgotten that an outward conformity with accepted ethical standards may be as much due to a deficiency of moral character as it is to strength of conviction.

Of the clergy themselves, perhaps the less said the better. Still, it may be safely said that as clergymen—and I am not concerned with them in any other capacity—their general standard of character is as low as that of any other educated class of the community—it is not lower. Mr. John Morley has said that the morals of the Anglican clergy were generally on a level of those people who could see no harm in swindling a large foreign company and defrauding the revenue. There really was no need to single out the Anglican clergy, since he names some other characters all. In their general defects, in the treatment of opponents, in the use of false statements, in the art of saying one thing and meaning another, or of taking payment for preaching a set of doctrines, and teaching another, the clergy practice a code of morals that would not be tolerated in the professional world, and which would in business ruin a man's credit. Catholics and Protestants are alike in this. The large portion of the sectarian press devoted to disproof and attack on the part of the clergy is evidence of this widespread character. And the fact that such conduct is taken as a matter of course, as what ought to exist, is proof of the real influence of the clergy in their capacity of public teachers.

What the clergy might be is another question. The presence of forty or fifty thousand educated men honestly engaged in the preparation for the clergy, coupled with the primitive function of miracle workers, which they once ruled, has been peculiarly dependent upon the popular good will. Hence the pandering to the prejudice of the moment as a means of retaining their hold upon the people. Nor is this all. The decay of their proper function, the clergy have played to the gallery for an audience. But they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

There are, indeed, two causes for this "official parasitism" of the clergy. The first, is the fact that the clergy are, by nature, parasitic. They carry out no useful purpose that could not be performed equally well in the character of an ordinary citizen. They are merely in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter. As Canon Henson says, they have been in the doing of their proper function, the clergy have been intended for the benefit of the latter.

ECONOMY

Individual Economy Before and Superior to Political Economy.

SELF-GOVERNMENT PRIOR AND SUPERIOR TO STATE GOVERNMENT.

(By D. Webster Gough.)

Like the bigoted self-righteous Christian, who claims himself "saved" and his opponents "vile, hell-doomed sinners," a recent paternalistic Blade-writer showed his abundant "brotherly-love," "comradely" and respect for others "equal rights" by saying his opponents were "ignorant as a sucking calf," "braying donkeys," "expiring life-long ignorances," etc., all of which strong paternalistic argument we cordially reciprocate, as it applies a thousand fold more to himself.

His "Political Economy" definitions, explanations, conclusions are "clear as mud." He, who knows and practices nothing of "individual economy," generally "professes" to know everything of "Political Economy"—i. e. other people's economy—and distribution of their life savings.

He knows not that "time is the most valuable thing in all the world, yet the only thing of which almost all men are prodigal." They resort to expensive "pastimes," games, sports, amusements, "smokes," "dances," "dope," etc., in order to "while away" the precious time, that others gladly spend in productive industry, and then want those other industrious, economical people to share out their life savings with them. They claim the "social system" is all wrong, while it is largely themselves that have "gone wrong," like the drunken man who thought only himself sober and everybody else drunk.

Tobacco, for instance, doubtless injures the nerves, brain and health generally, and is expensive besides, yet very generally used. Few men spend less than twelve cents per week equal to \$24.24 per year. In many cases ten times that amount, or more, is spent for beer.

But, even only \$6 per year is the interest, at 6 per cent, of \$100 every year. And, as money on interest, with the interest reinvested yearly, doubles itself in less than twelve years this \$6 yearly tobacco expenditure, in the first twelve years would make over thirty times more than \$100 cash. Besides, as he who borrows at 6 per cent usually so invests it as to make more than that rate out of it else he would not borrow, tobacco users could probably realize very much larger per cent out of their tobacco money by using it themselves in much more profitable ways, thus, perchance, doubling it in half the time or once every six years, which rate, in sixty years would make over thirty times the amount figured before. Figure it out for yourself and see if I'm not right—incalculable though it seems.

In the next twelve years, this \$100 cash, on interest, would double itself, making \$200 cash, while the twelve years' tobacco-chewing money during that time would again make another \$100, which, added to the \$200 cash equals \$300 cash, in twenty-four years.

The next twelve years the \$300 cash would again double itself, making \$600 cash, which, added to this twelve years' tobacco money of \$100 would equal \$700 cash, in thirty-six years.

The next twelve years this \$700 cash would again double itself and make \$1,400 cash, while this twelve years' tobacco money, added thereto, would equal \$1,500 cash, in forty-eight years.

The next twelve years this \$1,500 cash would again double itself, making \$3,000 cash, which, added again to this twelve years' tobacco money, would make \$3,100 cash in sixty years, enough to buy a fine home.

So he who chews or smokes but twelve cents worth of tobacco per week, in sixty years chews up and spits away or puffs away in tobacco smoke a \$3,100 home. And he who uses twenty cents per week in sixty years virtually destroys a \$6,200 home, as well as his health, brain and reasoning power besides.

And he who spends for tobacco, beer, etc., ten times twelve cents per week (as many do) in sixty years, virtually destroys a \$12,400 home, as well as his health, brain and reasoning power besides.

Not a word about Thomas Paine, or the Rev. Amos A. Phelps, the champion of Congress and the Continental Army, who wrote to General Washington at Valley Forge:—

NOTES ABOUT VALLEY FORCE

Interesting. Remembrance, of the American Revolution Brought—From History.

(James B. Elliott.)

It is indeed gratifying to note the fact that we have in the U. S. Senate, one who has studied history of the Revolution and was not unmindful of the service of Thomas Paine and the days that tried men's souls at Valley Forge, and so he is one of the possible candidates for President, he may yet be able to give Thomas Paine's name a place where it belongs, and to at least remove the stigma that Teddy has placed upon the name of the man who stood for the rights of the people. There are two factions struggling for supremacy at Valley Forge. The Religious under control of Rev. Mr. Bullock, who have erected a chapel upon the site where Washington was found in the snow in a pre-fall attitude, and the stained glass windows attest the face with pictures. One window was christened by a Bishop from Virginia, the Rev. Robt. A. Gibson. The words inscribed are:— "To the glory of God in the honor of the unfallen heroism, the Father of his Country, and the brave Virginians who so faithfully stood by him in this Valley."

Not a word about Thomas Paine, or the Rev. Amos A. Phelps, the champion of Congress and the Continental Army, who wrote to General Washington at Valley Forge:—

(Continued on Page 4.)

THINKS SOUL HAS WEIGHT

Dr. Macdougall Tells Of Experiments At Death

(From a Boston Dispatch.)

The human soul has a definite weight, which can be determined when it passes from the body, is the belief of Dr. Duncan Macdougall, a reputable physician of Haverhill. He is at the head of a research society which for six years has been experimenting in this field. With him, he says, have been associated four other physicians.

Dr. Macdougall's object was to learn if the departure of the soul from the body was attended by any manifestation that could be recorded by any physical means. The chief means to which resort was made was the determination of the weight of a body before and after death.

The method followed was to place a dying patient in bed upon one of the platforms of a pair of scales made expressly for the experiments, and then to balance this weight by placing an equal weight in the opposite platform. These scales were constructed delicately enough to be sensitive to a weight of less than one-tenth of an ounce. In every case af-

Continued on fourth page.

Now the religious papers are discussing his spiritual status. There is no question as to his responsibility before the statutory law. The advocates of religion, however, seem to be hopelessly divided as to his ability to evade responsibility, even in the sight of the forgiving god they pretend to worship. The Presbyterian Banner, of Pittsburg, speaking for the religious press, as a whole, admits that they will feel somewhat reluctant to make much

over Orchard's alleged conversion, and for very obvious reasons. Failing to find argument from a religious point of view of Orchard's right to become converted and his right to all the elements and evasion of responsibilities therefor, the Banner, while saying nothing as to how Orchard should stand in the eyes of the law, gives the following as its view of the religious aspect of the case:

"Accepting the sincerity of Harry Orchard in his confession, as with our present light we feel bound to do, he presents another marvelous instance of the forgiving power and love that is dripping with blood and covered with infamy does not in the east shut him out as a penitent from the throne of mercy. Skeptical men of the world may sneer at this and say it turns our religion into an absurdity, but we know it is the glory of the Gospel of Christ that he can cleanse the vilest sinner and the wickedest criminal into purity and peace. Harry Orchard's confession does not wipe out his wickedness of release him from paying the penalty of his crimes; but it enables God to forgive him and make him a new man. And as to penalties we leave that matter to the authorities of the State and to the mercy of God."

This is in strict accord with orthodox teachings and the Banner is to be commended on its candor. There is no warrant in the teachings of the Christian religion for turning Orchard down and keeping him out of heaven though he could swim in the blood of his victims on earth. True, those who are in hell, the kind of Harry Orchard sent there, Orchard has repented and he must be saved. A celestial crown of spottles glory and a monster harp of gold awaits him over there. Right well did the editor of the Banner know that "Skeptical men would sneer" at such a statement. Think of it. What a license for black crime! No moral responsibility save such as is provided for by statute, man-made law. While the Banner argues that the confession of Orchard does not wipe out the wickedness of his criminal acts, yet God can and will forgive him and make of him a new man. Being God why did the deity fail to make him good in the first instance? Being God why did not the deity make him a "new man" before he committed the first crime to which he has made confession? Why not spare those lives and direct and guide Harry Orchard into a righteous path? God could do it or the Christian religion as a living lie. Having the power to do it and failing to do it stamps this god as a monster too cruel to be longer tolerated much less worshipped, by honest men. If there is a God made up there that is too good for a man of the Orchard stripe.

Could it have been possible that Harry Orchard could have been educated in the principles and philosophy of Free Thought he would have been a man all through his miserable life. Free-
his every wrong could not have been
could have saved him from such a response.

That for every wrong done Nature demands ample compensation, a just balance of the scales. Too much religion. Too little Free Thought. These made Harry Orchard what he is.

SUNDAY PAPERS AGAIN.

The Sunday newspapers are disreputable. So says Dr. David J. Burrell, of New York. And why does he make such a statement? Simply because it is a business proposition as the newspaper keeps many a man from church.

Dr. Burrell asserts that the newspapers do not exercise an educating influence.

And why? Because they come in personal competition with his contribution box.

Dr. Burrell says their claims are amusing. Not more so than his own explanation and statement, for every thinking person knows the motive that induced the Doctor's statement and that is just as amusing as it is absurd.

Let it be understood that Burrell is a doctor of divinity and not a doctor of medicine. Burrell prescribes prayer instead of pills, miracles instead of medicine. Doubtless he would like to see all other churches closed by law, save and excepting his own. My. What a picnic he would have all at his himself.

The Sunday newspapers have long been a bone of contention with the Sunday church. So have Sunday excursions and Sunday picnics. It must be gall and wormwood for Burrell to see a large boat load of happy men, women and children steaming across New York harbor to one or other of the big pleasure resorts, anxious and glad to escape the torrid heat of the city and enjoy the cooling breeze that blows on old ocean's shore. What right has humanity to be happy while a Burrell exists among them? Give up pleasure and happiness. Down upon your knees before Burrell. Confess that you are a miserable sinner and don't forget to chip in when the box is passed round your way.

The Sunday paper does not claim to be religious. It would cease to be a newspaper if it was religious. If it was religious men would not want it. Burrell is religious and men do not want him. That is why they patronize the papers and refuse to patronize Burrell. That is what hurts Burrell and it makes him squeal. A paper has but two functions to perform. It is a mirror in which a community sees a reflection of its own image. It is a purveyor of news and a medium of communication between the people. Burrell wants the people to communicate only with him and he wants that communication to be substantial.

Thanks, Burrell. Your information is not desired. It was not asked for and it comes too late. The people prefer the Sunday papers to the Sunday preacher and it is only the business end of it that induces you to raise such a howl at this season.

THE FINAL SLEEP.

One of our literatures appears to be indulging in much speculation and contemplation of the realms of psychology, as governed by applied science and is gradually creeping upon the domain of religious faiths, shattering them right and left. The analogy between sleep and death has been previously dealt with. It is not a new subject and has been presented in its many and various phases. As a matter of fact such is the conclusion of the best scientific thought.

There can be little doubt that tired people naturally long for sleep from which healthful rest is obtained, and the logical, we might say, analogical, assumption arises, that very aged and infirm people as naturally and instinctively long for death. But for the dark terrors with which it has been surrounded by a morbid religious faith there would never have been the slightest terror attaching to death, but the grave uncertainties of the path, if any, that lies beyond, has filled human hearts with dread and despair, where a loving welcome should have been extended.

It is not our purpose to take up for discussion the philosophy of life and death, or to attempt to delve into the common religious arguments pertaining to the subject, but to allow a recent contributor to Harper's Weekly on the subject of "Studies of Natural Death" to furnish a few facts which, he declares, have come under his personal observation. The writer, Prof. Metchnikoff, says:—

"It may be supposed that as in sleep an instinctive need of rest is manifested in natural death is manifested man's instinctive aspiration toward death."

Monsieur Yves Delage, a well-known zoologist, in an analysis of my studies upon human nature, expresses his doubts as to the existence of an instinct serving neither for the preservation of the individual nor that of the species. In his mind the idea of the instinct of death is nonsense. I can not share the view of my learned critic. Both in man and in animals many harmful instincts are known to exist which have nothing to do with insuring life or reproduction. To this class belong the anomalies of the sexual instinct, so frequent among mammals as well as the instinct which induces parent to devour their young, or that which attracts insects to the fire. These instincts are for the greater part injurious to the individual and the species.

"The idea that this instinct of natural death is in all probability accompanied by as peaceable and pleasant a sensation as can be conceived will still further increase its beneficent effect upon humanity. We have no precise knowledge with regard to this sensation, but the few data possessed upon accidental death permits a conception of its agreeable nature."

It is undeniable that in a great many cases of death, and especially in the case of the aged, the painful sensations. There are many cases in which the dying person is in a state of unconsciousness, and in a short space of time falls into a deep sleep.

There are many degrees below normal. We were conscious of a sensation of extraordinary weakness, resembling no doubt that which forebuds death. As a matter of fact, the sensations were grateful rather than painful. In two cases of poisoning by morphine the sensation was as agreeable as possible—a gentle faintness, accompanied by such lightness of body that one felt as if aloft in the air.

"Those observers who have given their attention to the sensations of persons who have narrowly escaped death reports facts of the same character. Professor Heim, at Zurich, has given an account of a fall during a mountain climb, in which he came near losing his life, and accounts of other accidents of the kind baffling Alpine tourists. In every case he has described an attendant feeling of beatitude."

"If in cases of death by illness we meet this sensation of beatitude, all the more might it be expected in natural death. Preceded by the loss of the instinct of life and the acquisition of the instinct of natural death, the latter must be held to be the best ending, in accordance with the true principles of human nature."

"We do not pretend to offer the reader a complete doctrine of natural death. This chapter upon the science of death is hardly more than begun, but it is already beyond question that the study of the phenomena of natural death in the vegetable and animal world, as well as among mankind, will furnish information of the highest interest from the standpoint of science and of humanity."

Wise, indeed, is that man or woman who does not try to monkey with destiny.

How many wine bibbers are actually made at the Christian communion table?

The crowd is always willing to stand by and holler "Sie 'em" but it take courage to turn and hit 'em.

Religion has never encouraged education but it has existed concurrently with it and then claimed it as its own progeny.

When you don't know anything keep it to yourself.

Many men try to play hooky from the school of experience.

To sound your own praise does not drown the voice of conscience.

Cold logic is too much for orthodox.

Heaven and hell are of our own manufacture.

PAUL AND NOT JESUS

Was the Real Founder of the Christian Form of Faith, According to the Theories of Professor Pfeiderer.

GERMAN SCHOLAR DISCUSSED BY A PROTESTANT.

The character and nature of Jesus, the son of Joseph and Mary, as far as it can affect the religious world, is still a matter of much doubt, and the orthodox leaders are stirred to the innermost circles by reason of the recently published views, on this subject, of Prof. Pfeiderer, of the University of Berlin.

The clergy of Europe have been amazingly silent upon the subject, but has fallen to the lot of one, W. S. Lilly, hitherto unknown, to briefly summarize the German scholar's writings. From the Literary Digest the following summary is taken. It will be worthy of a close study as showing the fallacy of Christian claims. He says:

"His sources for the history of Christ are first those Epistles of St. Paul, which he considers genuine, and the three other Gospels attributed respectively to St. Mark, St. Luke, and St. Matthew; that is the chronological order in which he puts them. To the Gospel according to St. John he does not allow any biographical value whatever. He pronounces it to be a work of dialectic theology (Lehrschrift) composed about the middle of the second Christian century. St. Paul's account of the earthly life of Christ is, of course, meager. Unlike the other gospels, which speak of the Master, or sat at his feet, or listened to his words. What he knew of the man Christ Jesus was from tradition. It was 'the Lord from heaven' that was directly manifested to him in a vision, and he received the journey to Damascus; and his work, Professor Pfeiderer judges, was through his teaching as to the Spirit of Christ, and ethical character. Hence the need of its individual in Christians as members of the church, to transfer the conception of a Jewish Messianic King, which dominated the minds of the primitive brotherhood, into the eschatological Kingdom of God established on earth in righteousness."

—I am still quoting the Professor—this separation between the earthly and the spiritual Christ, the historical Jesus and the ideal Christ, the earthly Jesus and the heavenly Jesus, is the key to the whole. The earthly Jesus was the man who came down from heaven to earth and made man, opened the way to the Gnostic speculations whose growth in the second century threatened to dissolve Christianity into visionary images and to evaporate its historical basis. The history of Christ that was furnished by the slight and fragmentary references in the Pauline writings. That need the three Gospels added at supplying of the ideal, prime in the Christian era, in post-Pauline times, in fact, and partly under the influence of Pauline thought; but their foundation was the tradition of the primitive fellowship of disciples as to the life of Jesus; or, as another learned writer has observed, we have in them reminiscence, guided by faith, and prompted and shaped by the circumstances and conditions of the writers.

Professor Pfeiderer, then, depicts Christ for us as a Jewish peasant, the son of Joseph the carpenter and Mary, and deems that the earliest historical incident in his career is his baptism. That the preaching of repentance and of the approaching advent of the Kingdom of God by St. John Baptist had deeply impressed him is evident, the Professor observes, from the fact that after the imprisonment of the saint he himself delivered the same message: "Repent, for the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand." But though the message sounded the same—I am still quoting Professor Pfeiderer—the spirit in which it was delivered was different. Christ was no mere preacher of penance. His preaching was the immediate outflow of his own heart, strong in faith and glowing with love. It was a glad message of deliverance to the weary and heavy-laden, to the captive and the oppressed. It was the revival (die Wiederbelebung) of the spirit of Hosea, of Jeremiah, of the young Isaiah; and it was addressed especially to the poor, the suffering, and the sad who were dear above all others to his compassionate soul.

"What, then, the Professor continues, did Christ mean by the Kingdom of Heaven? The new advent of which was proclaimed as a reason for repentance? The two meanings now currently attached to the expression, he tells us, would have been quite alien from the thoughts of Christ's countrymen. They would not have understood the conception, whether of a kingdom of bliss beyond the grave, or of an earthly but spiritual polity of men, dwelling in true religion and virtue. Nor did Christ himself ever explain the words in either of these senses. He thinks that Christ meant by the words what his hearers must have understood him to mean, and what St. John Baptist clearly had meant—the miraculous establishment, hoped for by all pious Jews from the time of Daniel, of a new and better order of things on earth, and especially in Judea, whereby the misery of the world should be healed. He conceives of Christ not merely as a religious and ethical teacher, but as a political reformer; of the promised Kingdom of God as a social revolution in favor of the poor and the oppressed; and he quotes those most striking verses in the Gospel according to St. Luke, in which this seems to him clearly indicated: 'Blessed are ye poor, for yours is the Kingdom of God; blessed are ye that hunger, for ye shall be filled. But woe unto you that are rich, for ye have received your consolation; woe unto you that are full, for ye shall hunger. He quotes also those passages as favoring that view: such as: 'For ye know, little flock, for ye have pleased your Father to give you the kingdom—a promise repeated in fuller form in the Last Supper.' 'Let me now exhibit what he holds as to his own conception of himself and his work. The Professor confesses that the question is a difficult one (because the evangelical narratives are colored by the thought of an age later than that of the events which they profess to relate. But he is of opinion that if we look at it from a historical point of view, we may be sure that Christ was not conscious of any superhuman origin or existence. Christ entered upon the career of prophet, like the Baptist before him; he labored as teacher and healer among his fellow countrymen, like others before and with him; his power over sick souls and bodies, however wonderful it seemed, was no unconditional almighty power—it was conditioned by the faith of the sick, as clearly appears from a passage in St. Mark. In like manner his prophetic knowledge was not unlimited. The hour of the advent of the promised day of salvation, the Son known not, but only the Father. He declines the attribution of ethical perfection: 'Why call you me good? No one is good but God alone.' He prays to his Father, as he taught his disciples to pray. He claimed to be the Son of God only in the same sense in which all good men are, and recognized those who do the divine will as his brothers and his sisters. Professor Pfeiderer thinks, then, that the genuine human self-consciousness of Christ may unhesitatingly be asserted, on the authority of the oldest evangelists, as a safe historical fact."

feelings by an anesthetic, and there is no trace of thinking. Thinking is the effect of a cause; therefore, it cannot be a cause. The cause is feeling aroused. This awakens consciousness which is the seeming of the feeling. If we stop to think this will appear self-evident. In ethics the self-evident fact is: Feeling gives rise to the seeming of reality in what is felt. What we think is what we feel, but the world has it. What we feel is what we think. We could not think without thinking of something that is not thought. One of the masters says: "There is the doctor of divinity, the doctor of laws, the doctor of medicine, the doctor of politics, the doctor of education, and numerous physicians of lesser renown and prominence, all busily engaged in cauterizing and venerating diseases on the social body. There is no cure for the disease; and he refuses to abstain from the causes that produce them." If these writers would explain what makes a man think what he does think they would have gone one step in the solution of the problem of life.

In the light revealed by the action of the mental forces, the meaning of the proverbial text quoted is: "As a man feels, so he is to him, but not as he really is." The feelings are unconsciously developed by the stimulus environment was during infancy, childhood, youth, and adolescence. The feelings are conscious sensations and every mental operation is a sensation in consciousness. Consciousness is thought—the seeming, the appearance of things. That seeming is taken for the reality; but it is only the conception of the reality. The conception of a thing is not the thing itself.

For thousands of years the world was deluded by accepting the geocentric theory. At one time, five hundred years ago, would have declared the theory is false, he would have been denounced. If he disputed the conception of sunrise and sunset, he would have thought an idiot. Copernicus was persecuted for saying this. The people in those days knew that the sun rises and sets, and that New Thinkers know that thought makes the man what he is. Appearances though not false are often deceptive. The appearances that delude the ancients and the moderns are the geocentric theory. It is the seeming of the reality of things, the consciousness of which is awakened by arousing the feelings that deludes the New Thinkers. In the geocentric theory, they take the conception of things for the things themselves; and make as big a blunder in ethics, as one would make in physics. He declares and insists that the idea of a horse is a horse.

WAR CONTINUES!

The Elgin and Waltham Watch Co. have indirectly declared war on John C. Duer of the Hampden Watch Co. and smaller competitors. By continually reducing prices, they hope to drive out competitors or force them into a trust. John C. many times a millionaire—but who employs Union Labor only—will not join them in their nefarious scheme. He promptly meets all reductions made by the older companies, until, now watches are actually sold far below value. Note the latest quotations: 23jeweled "891 Ry." \$26; "New Ry." 21 jeweled \$20; "John Hancock" 21 jewels, \$16.50; "Duer" W. Co. 21 jewels, \$12.00; "Elgin" Vertas, 23 Jls., \$22.00; "Faber" Time, 21 Jls., \$22.50; "B. W. Raymond," 19 Jls., \$20; "B. W. Raymond," 17 Jls., \$18.50.

Waltham: "Vanguard," 23 Jls., \$29; "Crescent" Street, 21 Jls., \$22.50; the plecton, Tracey & Co., Premier, \$16.

The above guaranteed to pass R. Way inspectors.

Sundries: Waltham, "P. S. Bartlett" or Elgin "Wheeler," 17 Jls., adjusted nickel, \$9; same gilt, \$7; same gold, \$8.50; same, not adjusted \$7 Elgin, Waltham or Hampden nickel, 15 Jls., \$6; Elgin or Waltham nickel, non-catchable hair spring 7 Jls., \$5, Hampden 7 Jls., gilt \$4.50; "Standard of Century," 7 Jls., \$3.

All the above in 2 1/2 or 3 ounce silver case, prepaid. In silver, or gold filled, screw cases, accompanied by manufacturer's and my guarantee for 20 years, \$1. or in hunting case, \$5 more. In 25-year screw case, \$5, or in hunting case \$5.50 more. Last case silver case. In solid gold case \$10 to \$50 more.

Buy now! When this war is over you will pay 50 per cent more.

LADY'S GOLD WATCHES.

Large (6) size Elgin, Waltham or Hampden, 20-year gold filled, latest style, artistic hand-chased, 7 Jls., \$9; 15 Jls., \$11; 16 Jls., adj., \$15; small (6) size 7 Jls., \$11.50; 15 Jls., \$15; 16 Jls., adj., \$18. "Riverside," extra fine, \$24. In 25-year case, \$1. more. In 14k solid gold case, \$10 to \$50 more. Last case with diamonds, all in plush box, prepaid, with guarantee.

Send for prices of Watches not listed. Jewelry, Rings, Silver and Plated Ware, Optical Goods, and My Tract, "Theism in the Crucible," free.

OTTO WETSTEIN

La Grange, Cook Co., Ill.

WHAT PRODUCES THOUGHT

Reason and Consistency Should Not be Imolated Upon the Altar of Popular Sentiment.

WHY MEN THINK AND WHAT THEY THINK.

(By E. J. Shellmons.)

By reading two articles in a recent issue I was led to ask the above questions. Why do we continue to immolate reason and consistency upon the altar of popular sentiment? For the last few years there have sprung up a class of New Thinkers who, in order to support their theories, invariably misquote the proverb "As a man thinketh in his heart, so he is." In Webster's Dictionary, after giving the definition of the physical heart, there are over a hundred words in which the word heart, in compound words, means some kind of feeling. We have another proverb: "Seed that a man sows in his own conceit, there is more hope of a fool than of him." Also good scripture.

If the modern construction of the proverb "As a man thinketh, so he is," be true, then the man in the last proverb is a wise man. Such is the quoted proverb leads! We certainly have more hopes of a wise man than we do of a fool.

What does a man think at all? It is absurdity to which the popular mind is inclined. It is the popular mind that thinks that makes him think. To be plain and simple (as all truth is when clearly apprehended) thought is that of which one has been thinking. Webster says: "Think v. t. To conceive, to imagine, to believe, to consider, to seem or appear." These are genuine Saxon phrases and are equivalent to "it seems to me." "Thinking it a mental operation caused by some feeling. Stultify the

THINKS SOUL HAS WEIGHT

Continued from first page.

ter death the platform opposite the one in which lay the subject of the test fell suddenly. Dr. Macdonald says. The figures on the dial index indicated the diminishment in weight. Dr. Macdonald told of the results of his experiments, as follows:

"Four other physicians under my directions made the first test upon a patient dying with tuberculosis. This man was one of the ordinary type of the usual American temperament, neither particularly high strung nor of marked phlegmatic disposition. We placed him, a few hours preceding death, upon a scale platform, which I had constructed and which was accurately balanced. Four hours later with five doctors in attendance, he died.

"The instant life ceased the opposite scale pan fell with a suddenness that was astonishing—as if something had been suddenly lifted from the body. Immediately all the usual deductions were made for physical loss of weight, and it was discovered that there was still a full ounce of weight unaccounted for."

"I submitted another subject afflicted with the same disease and nearing death to the same experiment. He was a man of much the same temperament as the preceding patient and of about the same physical type. The same result happened at the passing of his life. The instant the heart ceased to beat there was the sudden and almost unaccountable diminishment in weight.

"Assume experimenters, each physician in attendance made figures of his own concerning this loss, and at a consultation, these figures were compared. The unaccountable loss continued to be shown.

"But this was less remarkable than what took place in the third case. The subject was that of a man of larger physical build, with a pronounced sluggish temperament. When life ceased, as the body lay in bed upon the scales, for a full minute there appeared to be no change in weight. The physicians waiting in the room looked into each other's faces silently, shaking their heads in the conviction that our test had failed.

"Then suddenly the same thing happened that had occurred in the other cases. There was a sudden diminution in weight, which was soon found to be the same as that of the preceding experiments.

"I believe that in this case, that of a phlegmatic man, slow of thought and action, the soul remained suspended in the body after death, during the minute that elapsed before it came to the consciousness of its freedom. There is no other way of accounting for it, and it is what might be expected to happen in a man of the subject's temperament.

"Three other men were tried, including that of a woman, and in each it was established that a weight of from one-half to a full ounce departed from the body at the moment of expiration."

VALLEY FORCE

Continued from first page.

London, Oct. 8, 1976.

Dear General:

"Should this letter find you in council or field, I beg you to retire and reflect on its important contents. The most respectable characters you have will draw themselves from your support and are succeeded by a great majority of 'illiberal and violent men' that you are a gentleman would disdain to associate with.

Bankrupt attorneys and near of despair fortune are your colleagues. As to your army I ask you sir, look at its condition, drawn from the lowest ranks of New England, ruffians, without principle, pre-terse or discipline, and liable to desert you at any moment. How many of such a motley array could you associate with or ask to a seat at your table. Oh sir, let no false ideas of worldly or private duties influence you to continue so hopeless a struggle, recommend to congress at once the immediate necessity of recalling the hasty and ill advised Declaration of Independence. By such a course your character will rise in the estimation of the virtuous and your name will shine with luster in the annals of history.

Rev. Jacob Duché, pastor of Christ Church Philadelphia, who was a brother in law to Bishop White, who preached a sermon against Gunda Infield College.

To the credit of Gen. Washington when he received this letter he summoned his secretary and dictated a caustic reply and ordered the troops to dress parade and Paines common sense and Crisis were read by the Chaplain of every regiment. This may be news to Roosevelt but it is

History to all but students in Theological Colleges.
JAS B. ELLIOTT, SEC.
Paine Association of U. S.

NINETY-FOUR PER CENT

But a Blade Writer Undertakes to Show D. W. Groh is in Error.

(By Dennis Leahy.)

D. W. Groh's latest is real good. The question is great. If labor only gets 8 per cent of the annual product, capital must get 92 per cent. As money can be borrowed for 6 per cent why not borrow money, and rent land on the halves and secure 94 per cent. Suppose we swing that proposition around. If money can be borrowed at 6 per cent then capital only earns 6 per cent leaving 94 per cent for labor. How does that look? Suppose some socialist acted on D. W. Groh's suggestion and borrowed money at 6 per cent and rented land on the halves? And suppose he escapes the green bug and the boll weevil and the grasshopper not to mention bad seasons. And makes a crop. 50 per cent goes to the landlord the first dash out of the box. That knocks an awful hole in the 94 per cent. D. W. forgot that 50 per cent in his calculations.

The trusts control everything. So say the democrats, and of course they know. John D. Rockefeller admits that one of his trusts clears 80 per cent. That comes out of the annual product. The tenant must pay the merchant's rent and taxes as they form part of the cost of the goods. Then he 6 per cent and the principle must be returned to the bank. How much of the 92 per cent is left to the socialist?

Some socialists are atheists no doubt. It is to be hoped they are all nationalists. If they were they don't monkey with D. W. Groh's generous suggestion. Money is not capital. It is merely an order on the market. The anti does not increase the effectiveness of labor. Landlords, speculators, monopolists and politicians gain in the effectiveness leaving nothing for labor.

But what's the use? The anti does not know, and never can understand."

BIBLE NOT A MORAL GUIDE

Only Intelligence can be Trusted to Guide Men Through Life While Bible is Decidedly Immoral.

THOUGH DEAD OUR HERO STILL SPEAKS

Following is a reproduction of an article from the brain of the great Free thought leader, the late Col. Ingersoll. It has never been answered by an advocate of religion and the Bible challenges controversy.

You ask me what I would "substitute for the Bible as a moral guide."

I know that many people regard the Bible as the only moral guide and believe that in that Book only can be found the true and perfect standard of morality.

There are many good precepts, many wise sayings and many good regulations and laws in the Bible, and these are mingled with bad precepts, with foolish sayings, with absurd rules and cruel laws.

But we must remember that the Bible is a collection of many books written centuries apart, and that it represents the growth and tells in part the history of a people. We must also remember that the writers there have nothing to say about right or wrong, about vice or virtue.

The book of Genesis has nothing about morality. There is not a word in it calculated to shed light on the path of conduct. No one can find that book a moral guide. It is made up of myth and miracle, of tradition and legend.

In Exodus, we have an account of the manner in which Jehovah delivered the Jews from Egyptian bondage.

We now know that the Jews were never enslaved by the Egyptians, that the entire story is a fiction. We know this, because there is not found in Hebrew a word of Egyptian origin, and there is not found in the language of the Egyptians a word of Hebrew origin. This being so, we know that the Hebrew and Egyptians could not have lived together for hundreds of years.

Certainly Exodus was not written to teach morality. In that book you cannot find one word against human slavery. As a matter of fact, Jehovah was a believer in that institution.

The killing of cattle with disease and hail, the murder of the first-born, so that in every house was death,

because the king refused to let the Hebrew go, certainly was not moral. It was fear. The writer of that book regard all the people of Egypt their child, a flock and herds, as the property of Pharaoh, and these people and these cattle were killed, not because they had done anything wrong, but simply for the purpose of punishing the king. It is possible to bet any morality out of this history.

All the laws found in Exodus, including the Ten Commandments, so far as they are really good and sensible, were at that time in force among all the peoples of the world.

Murder is, and always was, a crime and always will be, so long as a majority of people object to being murdered.

Industry always has been and always will be the enemy of laziness.

The nature of man is such that he cannot be the teller of truth and does not like the liar. Among all tribes among all people, truth telling has been considered a virtue and false swearing or false speaking a vice.

The love of parents for children is natural, and this love is found among all the animals that live. So the love of children for parents is natural, and was not and cannot be created by law. Love does not spring from a sense of duty, nor does it bow in obedience to commands.

So men and women are not virtuous because of anything in books or creeds.

All the Ten Commandments that are good were old, were the result of experience. The Commandments that were original with Jehovah were foolish.

The worship of "any other god" could not have been worse than the worship of Jehovah, and nothing could have been more absurd than the sacredness of the Sabbath.

If the commandments had been given against slavery and polygamy, against wars of invasion and extermination, against religious persecution in all its forms, so that the world could be free, so that the brain might be developed and the heart civilized, then we might, with propriety, call such commandments a moral guide.

Before we can truthfully say that the Ten Commandments constitute a moral guide, we must add and subtract. We must throw away some, and write others in their place.

The commandments that have a known application here, in this world, are of human obligations, and the others have no basis in the experience.

Many of the regulations found in Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy, are good. Many are absurd and cruel.

The entire ceremonial of worship is insane.

Most of the punishment for violations of laws are unphilosophic and brutal. The fact is that the Pentateuch upholds nearly all crimes, and to call it a moral guide is as absurd as to say that it is merciful or true.

Nothing of a moral nature can be found in Joshua or Judges. These books are filled with crimes, with massacres and murders. They are about the same as the real history of the Apache Indians.

The story of Ruth is not particularly moral.

In first and second Samuel there is not one word calculated to develop the brain or conscience.

Jehovah murdered seventy thousand and Jews because David took a census of the people. David, according to the account, was the guilty one, but only the innocent were killed.

In first and second Kings can be found nothing of ethical value. All the Kings who refused to obey the priests were denounced, and all the crowned wretches who assisted the priests, were declared to be the favorites of Jehovah. In these books cannot be found one word in favor of liberty.

There are some good Psalms, and there some that are infamous. Most of these Psalms are selfish. Many of them are passionate appeals for revenge.

The story of Job shocks the heart of every good man. In this book there is some poetry, some pathos, and some philosophy, but the story of this drama called Job, is heartless to the last degree. The children of Job are murdered to settle a little wager between God and the Devil. Afterward, Job, having remained firm other children are given in the place of the murdered ones. Nothing, however, is done for the children who were murdered.

The book of Esther is utterly absurd, and the only pleasing feature in the book is that the name of Jehovah is not mentioned.

I like the Song of Solomon because it tells of human love, and that is something I can understand. That book, in my judgment, is worth all the ones that go before it, and is a far better moral guide.

The trouble is that the spirit of the Old Testament, its disposition, its temperament, is bad, selfish, and cruel. The most finished things are commanded, commended, and applauded.

The stories that are told of Joseph, of Elijah, of Daniel and Gideon, and of many others, are hideous; hellish. On the whole, the Old Testament cannot be of moral (not of dare) cannot be considered of moral guide.

Jehovah was not a moral god. He had all the vices, and he lacked all the virtues. He generally carried out his threats, but he never faithfully kept a promise.

At the same time, we must remember that the Old Testament is a natural production, that it was a crawling toward the light. We must give them credit for the noble things they said, and we must be charitable enough to excuse their faults and even their crimes.

If we were all Christians regard the Old Testament as the foundation and the New as the superstructure, and while many admit that there are faults and mistakes in the Old Testament, they insist that the New is the flower and perfect fruit.

I admit that there are many good things in the New Testament, and if we take from that book the dogmas of eternal pain, of infinite revenge, of the atonement, of human sacrifice, of the necessity of shedding blood; if we throw away the doctrine of non-resistance, of love enemies, the idea that prospective the result of wickedness is

It is the good, sensible, and noble to conduct, then we can have a fairly good moral guide—much better moral.

Of course, many important things would be left out. You would have nothing about human rights, nothing in favor of the family, nothing for education, nothing for investigation, for thought and reason, but still you would have a fairly good moral guide.

On the other hand, if you would take the foolish passages, the extreme ones, you could make a creed that would satisfy an insane asylum.

If you take the cruel passages, the verses that inculcate eternal hatred, verses that write and hiss like serpents, you can make a creed that would shock the heart of a hyena.

It may be that no book contains better passages than the New Testament, but certainly no book contains worse.

Below the blossom of love you find the thorn of hatred; on the lips that kiss you find the poison of the cobra. The Bible is not a moral guide.

Any man who follows faithfully all its teachings is an enemy of society and will probably end his days in a prison or an asylum.

What is morality?

In this world we need certain things. We have many wants. We are exposed to many dangers. We need food, fuel, raiment and shelter, and besides these wants, there is, what may be called, the hunger of the mind.

We are conditioned beings, and our happiness depends upon conditions. There are certain things that diminish, certain things that increase, well-being. There are certain things that destroy, and there are others that preserve.

Happiness, including its highest forms is, after all, the only good; and everything, the result of which is to produce or secure happiness, is good. That is to say, moral. Everything that destroys or diminishes well-being is bad, that is to say, immoral. In other words, all that is good is moral and all that is bad is immoral.

What then, is, or can be called, a moral guide? The shortest possible answer is one word: Intelligence.

We want the experience of mankind, the true history of the race. We want the history of intellectual development, of the growth of the mind, of the idea of justice, of conscience, of charity, of self-denial. We want to know the paths and

roads that have been travelled by the human mind.

These facts in general, these histories in outline, the results reached, the conclusions formed, the principles evolved, taken together, would form the best conceivable moral guide.

We cannot depend on what are called "inspired books" or the religions of the world. These religions are based on the supernatural, and, according to them, we are under obligations to worship and obey some supernatural being or beings. All these religions are inconsistent with intellectual liberty. They are the enemies of thought, of investigation, of mental honesty. They destroy the unlikeness of man. They promise eternal rewards for belief, for credulity, for what they call faith.

This is not only absurd, but it is immoral.

These religions teach the slave things holy, and falsehoods sacred. They create artificial crimes, to eat meat on Friday, to enjoy yourself on Sunday, to eat on fast-days, to be happy in Lent, to dispute a priest, to ask for evidence, to deny a creed, to express your sincere thought, all these are acts of sins, crimes against some god. To give your honest opinion about Jehovah, Mohammed, or Christ is far worse than to maliciously slander your neighbor. To question or doubt miracles, is far worse than to deny known facts. Only the obedient, the credulous, the cringers, the kneelers, the meek, the unquestioning, the true believers, are regarded as moral, as virtuous. It is not enough to be honest, generous, and useful; not enough to be governed by evidence, by facts. In addition to this, you must believe. These things are the foes of morality. They subvert all natural conceptions of virtue.

All "inspired books" teaching that the supernatural commands are right, and right because commanded, and that what the supernatural prohibits is wrong, and wrong because prohibited, are absurdly unphilosophic.

And all "inspired books" teaching that only those who obey the commands of the supernatural are, or can be, truly virtuous, and that unquestioning faith will be rewarded with eternal joy, are grossly immoral.

And I say: Intelligence is the only moral guide.

Just out, debate on the Bible and Evolution between A. A. Snow and U. G. Wilkerson, 100 pages, 64,000 words. Price 15 cents. Arkansas Traveler, New Hope, Ark.

DEBATE IN PAMPHLET FORM.

Just out, debate on the Bible and Evolution between A. A. Snow and U. G. Wilkerson, 100 pages, 64,000 words. Price 15 cents. Arkansas Traveler, New Hope, Ark.

THE FREE SPEECH LEAGUE

invites correspondence, co-operation, and membership of all who claim rights and dare maintain them.

JOIN US. HELP US. GET OUR LIST OF BOOKS. READ THEN—PASS THEM ALONG.

Liberty talks by—Ingersoll, Wakeman, Walker, Schroeder, Postecost, Darrow, Post, and others. Read "Our Vanishing Liberty of Press" (five cents) and also "Do You Want Free Speech?" (ten cents) and learn

WHY YOU SHOULD ACT WITH US.

The Free Speech League, 120 Lexington Ave., New York City.

WANTED—All readers of the Blue Grass Blade, who know God is a myth and death the end of life, to fill out the following blank and forward it to the International Organizer, W. H. Kerr, Great Bend, Kansas, and get a nice certificate, suitable for framing, of graduation in the knowledge of God and life membership in the church of Humanity making you founders and Charter members of it.

Add 25 cents for a year subscription to its paper, "The Truth About God."

APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP

In the Church of Humanity.

W. H. KERR, Great Bend, Kans.

Believing God to be a fabulous being, I enclose one dollar for Life Membership in the Church of Humanity.

Name Age

Postoffice Co. or S. T.

State

Sex Occupation

Nationality Language

Previous Church

When 1,000 members are enrolled a national delegate convention will be called to formally organize and incorporate the church

HAECKEL'S LAST Great Work. Just Published.

LAST WORDS ON EVOLUTION BY ERNST HAECKEL

BLUE GRASS BLADE, Publishers, Box 393, Lexington, Ky.

\$10.00 BOOK for \$1.00.

EVOLUTION OF MAN

408 Illustrations.

51,000 Sold.

100 NET-POSTAGE

Will mail on receipt of \$1.20.

BLUE GRASS BLADE, Publishers, Box 393, Lexington, Ky.

Also Written and Beautifully Illustrated.

LIFE AND REMINISCENCES OF ROBERT C. INGERSOLL

BLUE GRASS BLADE, Publishers, Box 393, Lexington, Ky.

DEBATE IN PAMPHLET FORM.

Just out, debate on the Bible and Evolution between A. A. Snow and U. G. Wilkerson, 100 pages, 64,000 words. Price 15 cents. Arkansas Traveler, New Hope, Ark.

THE FREE SPEECH LEAGUE

invites correspondence, co-operation, and membership of all who claim rights and dare maintain them.

JOIN US. HELP US. GET OUR LIST OF BOOKS. READ THEN—PASS THEM ALONG.

Liberty talks by—Ingersoll, Wakeman, Walker, Schroeder, Postecost, Darrow, Post, and others. Read "Our Vanishing Liberty of Press" (five cents) and also "Do You Want Free Speech?" (ten cents) and learn

WHY YOU SHOULD ACT WITH US.

The Free Speech League, 120 Lexington Ave., New York City.

WANTED—All readers of the Blue Grass Blade, who know God is a myth and death the end of life, to fill out the following blank and forward it to the International Organizer, W. H. Kerr, Great Bend, Kansas, and get a nice certificate, suitable for framing, of graduation in the knowledge of God and life membership in the church of Humanity making you founders and Charter members of it.

Add 25 cents for a year subscription to its paper, "The Truth About God."

APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP

In the Church of Humanity.

W. H. KERR, Great Bend, Kans.

Believing God to be a fabulous being, I enclose one dollar for Life Membership in the Church of Humanity.

Name Age

Postoffice Co. or S. T.

State

Sex Occupation

Nationality Language

Previous Church

When 1,000 members are enrolled a national delegate convention will be called to formally organize and incorporate the church